arXiv:gr-qc/0703108v1 21 Mar 2007

March 20, 2007

Asymptotically Shear-free and Twist-free Null Geodesic Congruences

Carlos Kozameh[1] and Ezra T. Newman[2]
[1]FaMaF, Univ. of Cordoba,
Cordoba, Argentina
[2]Dept of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Pittsburgh,
Pittsburgh, PA 15260. USA

Abstract

We show that, though they are rare, there are asymptotically flat space-times that possess null geodesic congruences that are both asymptotically shear- free and twist-free (surface forming). In particular, we display the class of space-times that possess this property and demonstrate how these congruences can be found. A special case within this class are the Robinson-Trautman space-times. In addition, we show that in each case the congruence is isolated in the sense that there are no other neighboring congruences with this dual property.

I. Introduction

For an arbitrary asymptotically flat space-time, in general, it is easy to find families of null geodesic congruences that are *either* twist-free or are asymptotically shear-free. To find congruences with both properties: i.e., a null geodesic congruences that is simultaneously twist-free (i.e., surface forming) and asymptotically shear-free is difficult and often impossible. By taking an arbitrary one parameter family of slicing of null infinity, $\Im^+$, the inward directed null normals generate a twist-free null geodesic congruences. On the other hand, the asymptotically shear-free null geodesic congruences, (which are obtained from any shearing twist-free Bondi congruence via solutions of the good cut equation[1]), almost always contain twist. It has proven difficult to find examples of asymptotically flat space-times where one can find congruences that are both asymptotically shear-free and twist-free. They certainly are not generic. Special examples of such space-times are given by the class of regular Robinson-Trautman metrics, where the congruence is given by the twist-free degenerate principle null vectors of the Weyl tensor. It turns out that such space-times and their associated congruences are of considerable interest for studies of certain issues in the asymptotic behavior of gravitational fields.[1–3] It is the purpose of this note to show how large classes of such space-times can be constructed along with their associated asymptotically shear-free and twist-free congruence. In a future paper we will investigate their physical properties.

II. Construction

The basic problem is how to construct on $\Im^+$,(with given Bondi coordinates $(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}, r)$, special families of Bondi asymptotic shear, $\sigma(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, such that one can find from them *a one parameter family of real* solutions to the so-called 'good cut' equation,

$$\eth^2 Z = \sigma(Z, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{1}$$

In general, the solutions, $Z(z^a, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, of the good cut equation depend on four complex constants, z^a, {which define H-space}. For each arbitrarily chosen complex curve on the H-space, i.e., for $z^a = \xi^a(\tau)$, with complex parameter τ, one can easily construct an asymptotically shear-free null geodesic

congruence.[1] This is done by taking the solution,

$$u = X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv Z(\xi^a(\tau), \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{2}$$

and then defining (parametrically) the complex stereographic angle field, $L(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ on $\mathfrak{I}^+$, by

$$\begin{aligned} L(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) &= \eth_{(\tau)} X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) & (3) \\ u &= X(\tau, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \Leftrightarrow \tau = T(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). & (4) \end{aligned}$$

($\eth_{(\tau)}$ denotes the $\eth$ operator holding τ constant.) The shear-free congruence, defined and determined by the asymptotic vector l^{*a}, is then obtained from the Bondi congruence by the null rotation, near $\mathfrak{I}^+$, of their respective tangent vectors, (l^{*a}, l^a_B) by

$$\begin{aligned} l^{*a} &= l^a_B - \frac{L}{r}\overline{m}^a_B + \frac{\overline{L}}{r} m^a_B + O(r^{-2}), & (5) \\ m^{*a} &= m^a_B - \frac{L}{r} n^a_B + O(r^{-2}), \\ \overline{m}^{*a} &= \overline{m}^a_B - \frac{\overline{L}}{r} n^a_B + O(r^{-2}), \\ n^{*a} &= n^a_B, \end{aligned}$$

where $(l^a_B, n^a_B, m^a_B, \overline{m}^a_B)$ form the Bondi null tetrad system at $\mathfrak{I}^+$. The twist, Σ, of l^{*a} is given by

$$i\Sigma \equiv \frac{1}{2}\{\eth\overline{L} + L\dot{\overline{L}} - \overline{\eth}L - \overline{L}\dot{L}\}. \tag{6}$$

where dot denotes u-derivative.

Our desire is to be able to chose real world-lines $z^a = \xi^a(s)$, $\tau = s$, so that the solutions to Eq.(1) take the form

$$u = X(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{7}$$

with both s and X real. Such solutions, if they could be found, define a (real) slicing (or NU coordinates) of $\mathfrak{I}^+$, by $s = const.$, where the null inward normals form null surfaces, i.e. are twist-free and are asymptotically shear-free. This later result follows from the fact that Eq.(7) is a solution to

the 'good cut equation'.[1] The difficulty has been that Eq.(1) is a complex differential equation and almost all solutions are 'intrinsically' complex. It was not at all clear what is the class of Bondi shears that allow for these one-parameter families of real solutions.

The first thing to note is that for a completely general Bondi shear there are two real functions of $(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ that are buried in the (complex) shear, $\sigma(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, and we want one real function, $u = X(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, to solve the equation - or from another point of view,[4] to 'transform the shear away'. We look for a Bondi shear that depends on only one real function.

The construction of appropriate Bondi shears, though not immediately obvious, turns out to be quite simple. We begin by choosing an arbitrary *real* function of three variables,

$$u = H(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{8}$$

that can be interpreted as yielding a one-parameter slicing of $\mathfrak{I}^+$. The inward directed null normals, as we mentioned earlier, form a null surface, i.e., are twist free by construction. Our task is to construct asymptotically flat space-times possessing a special class of Bondi shears so that the asymptotic shear of these normal null rays vanishes.

We assume that Eq.(8) can be inverted as

$$s = S(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{9}$$

Next (by applying $\eth^2$ to H, holding s constant) we define the spin-wt-2 complex 'electric type' function

$$\sigma^*(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv \eth^2_{(s)} H(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{10}$$

Finally the Bondi shear is obtained by replacing the s in Eq.(10) by $s = S(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ obtaining

$$\sigma(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv \sigma^*(S(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}), \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{11}$$

so that the good cut equation becomes

$$\eth^2 X = \sigma(X, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv \eth^2_{(s)} H(S(X, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}), \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{12}$$

We easily see, from the construction and Eq.(10), that a real solution is given by

$$u = X(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = H(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{13}$$

We thus see that for any choice of the function $u = H(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, (an NU coordinate transformation), we can define or construct a Bondi shear on the space-time so that the null normals to the constant 's' cuts of $\Im^+$ form a congruence that is both asymptotically shear-free and twist-free. The $l = 0, 1$ harmonic components of H, written as $\xi^a(s) l_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, determine the associated real world line, $\xi^a(s)$. From the construction, it is clear that this, Eq.(11), is the only class of Bondi shears with this dual property.

Remark 1 *In this construction it might appear that by simply adding to the H in Eq.(13) another term of the form $\xi^{\#a}(s) l_a(\zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ a new solution could be constructed. This is not true since changing H by that term changes the $S(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ and thus the Bondi shear itself. The associated space-time would also change. However, if we are given the modified special shear from Eq.(11)*

$$\sigma(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv \sigma^*(S(u, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}), \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + \eth^2 \alpha(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{14}$$

(which defines an arbitrary spin.wt.2 function, $F(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = \eth^2 \alpha(\zeta, \overline{\zeta})$) the extra term can be considered as pure gauge since the good cut equation, $\eth^2 X = \sigma^*(X, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) + \eth^2 \alpha(\zeta, \overline{\zeta})$, becomes

$$\eth^2 (X - \alpha(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv \eth^2 X^* = \sigma^*(X, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$$

so that the gauge choice is equivalent tothe choice of a Bondi supertranslation.

Another question that immediately arises: Given the Bondi shear, Eq.(11), and the real one-parameter family of solutions, Eq.(13), are there other *real solutions* close to the first real solution?

For the generic situation the answer is no.

To show this we begin with the good cut equation

$$\eth^2 Z = \sigma(Z, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$$

and assume that the shear is of the form Eq.(11) and that we are considering the *real* one parameter solution and the nearby solutions. We know from the general theory[5,6] that there is a complex four parameter family of solutions, $u = Z(z^a, \zeta, \overline{\zeta})$ and hence the *real* one parameter solution has an associated real world-line, $z^a = \xi^a(s)$, with

$$X(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = Z(\xi^a(s), \zeta, \overline{\zeta}). \tag{15}$$

For a *given* real point on the real world-line, $z^a = \xi^a(s_0)$, we consider *real neighboring points*, $z^a = \xi^a(s_0) + \delta\xi^a$. More specifically we consider two different neighboring points; one on the world-line,

$$z^a = \xi^a(s_0) + \xi^{a\prime}(s_0)ds \tag{16}$$

the other off the world-line,

$$z^a = \xi^a(s_0) + \eta^a(s_0)\epsilon \tag{17}$$

with

$$\eta^a(s_0) \neq \mu\xi^{a\prime}(s_0). \tag{18}$$

Given the solution, $u = X(s_0, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = Z(\xi^a(s_0), \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \equiv Z_0$, neighboring solutions,

$$Z(\xi^a + \delta\xi^a, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) = Z_0 + \partial_a Z_0 \delta\xi^a$$

are determined by the solutions, $\partial_a Z_0$, to the linearized equation

$$\eth^2(\partial_a Z_0) = \dot{\sigma}(Z_0,\zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \cdot \partial_a Z_0. \tag{19}$$

The fact that we already know one solution, namely the one coming from displacement along the known world-line, Eq.(16), i.e.,

$$V = \partial_a Z_0 \cdot \xi^{a\prime}(s_0) \equiv \partial_s X(s, \zeta, \overline{\zeta}) \tag{20}$$

allows us the find the general solution. Prime denotes s-derivative.

Assuming the general (four-parameter) solution has the form

$$\partial_a Z_0 = V L_a, \tag{21}$$

Eq.(19) becomes

$$\eth^2(VL_a) \equiv \eth^2 V \cdot L_a + 2\eth V \cdot \eth L_a + V\eth^2 L_a = \dot{\sigma} V L_a \tag{22}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eth V \eth L_a + V\eth^2 L_a &= 0 \\ \eth(V^2 \eth L_a) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

with solution

$$\begin{aligned} V^2 \eth L_a &= \gamma_a^i m_i && (24) \\ m_i &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2P}(1-\overline{\zeta}^2, -i(1+\overline{\zeta}^2),\ 2\overline{\zeta}) && (25) \\ \gamma_a^i &= \text{three constant 'vectors'} && (26) \end{aligned}$$

Assuming (from genericity) that V^{-2} contains a non-vanishing $l = 0$ spherical harmonic component, say K, we can write

$$V^{-2} = K + \eth W \tag{27}$$

with W a spin.wt.(-1) quantity, (containing, in general, all harmonics, $l \geq 1$). Using Eq.(27), Eq.(24) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \eth L_a &= V^{-2}\gamma_a^i m_i \\ &= \gamma_a^i (K + \eth W) m_i \\ &= \gamma_a^i (K m_i + m_i \eth W) \\ &= \gamma_a^i (K \eth l_i + \eth [W m_i]) \\ &= \eth \{\gamma_a^i (K l_i + W m_i)\} \\ l_i &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2P}(\zeta + \overline{\zeta},\ -i(\zeta - \overline{\zeta}), -1 + \zeta\overline{\zeta}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$L_a = \gamma_a^0 + \gamma_a^i (K l_i + W m_i)$$

with γ_a^0 another independent constant 'vector'.

The general solution, i.e., Eq.(21), becomes

$$\partial_a Z_0 = V\{\gamma_a^0 + \gamma_a^i (K l_i + W m_i)\}. \tag{28}$$

The four constant 'vectors', (γ_a^0, γ_a^i), are determined (partially) by the condition that,

$$V \equiv \partial_a Z_0 \xi^{a\prime} = V\{\xi^{a\prime}\gamma_a^0 + \xi^{a\prime}\gamma_a^i (K l_i + W m_i)\} \tag{29}$$

or

$$\xi^{a\prime}\gamma_a^0 + \xi^{a\prime}\gamma_a^i (K l_i + W m_i)\} = 1.$$

Since (l_i, W, m_i) have angular behavior the four 'vectors' must satisfy the algebraic conditions

$$\xi^{a\prime}\gamma^0_a = 1 \quad (30)$$
$$\xi^{a\prime}\gamma^i_a = 0. \quad (31)$$

Assuming that we have found a set of four independent 'vectors' with the given $\xi^{a\prime}$ that satisfy Eqs.(30) and (31), then *for any other real quantity,* $\eta^a(s_0)$,with

$$\eta^a(s_0) \neq \mu\xi^{a\prime}(s_0) \quad (32)$$

we have that

$$\eta^a(s_0)\gamma^i_a \neq 0.$$

This immediately implies that *all solutions* that differ from V or a multiple of V, i.e.,

$$\partial_a Z_0 \eta^a = V\{\eta^a\gamma^0_a + \eta^a\gamma^i_a(Kl_i + Wm_i)\}$$

are intrinsically complex, so that in the generic situation there are no other real solutions in the neighborhood of Eq.(13).

III. Conclusion

We studied here the question of: what is the class of space-times that possess null geodesic congruences that are both asymptotically shear-free and twist-free and furthermore asked, within that class how common are such congruences?

Our motivation for this work was the recognition that the well-known Robinson-Trautman metrics allow such a congruence - and in fact are essentially defined by a special case in this class, namely the ones that are globally shear-free and twist-free and not just asymptotically shear-free and twist-free. This work can thus be considered as attempting to find a generalization of the Robinson-Trautman case.

In a future paper we will apply the results of this work to study the relationship of the *real world-line* to the Bondi energy-momentum four-vector and the resulting 'equations of motion'[3] coming from the asymptotic Einstein-Maxwell equations, i.e., those coming from the Bondi energy-momentum loss equation. Though it might be hard to believe, we are convinced that this future study can and will shed light on the run-away behavior

associated with the radiation reaction term in the electrodynamic equations of motion for a charged particle.[3]

IV. Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work (partially) supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0244513. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science. E.T.N. thanks the NSF for this support. C.K. thanks CONICET and SECYTUNC for support. In addition we thank our colleague, Gilberto Silva-Ortigoza, for comments and suggestions.

References

[1]C. Kozameh and E.T. Newman, Class. Quantum Grav. **22**, 4659 - 4665, (2005).

[2]I. Robinson and A. Trautman, Proc. Roy. Soc., London Ser., **A 289**, 463 (1962).

[3]C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman and G. Silva Ortigoza, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 6599-6620, (2006).

[4]B. Aronson and E.T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 1847-51 (1972).

[5]R. Hansen, E.T. Newman, R. Penrose and K. Tod, Proc. R. Soc. London A, **363**, 445 (1978).

[6]M. Ko, M. Ludvigsen, E. Newman and K. Tod, Phys. Rep. **71**, 51-139 (1981).